arXiv:1612.05921v2 [math.AG] 13 Feb 2017

ALBANESE MAPS OF PROJECTIVE MANIFOLDS WITH NEF ANTICANONICAL BUNDLES

JUNYAN CAO

Abstract. Let X be a projective manifold such that the anticanonical bundle $-K_X$ is nef. We prove that the Albanese map $p : X \to Y$ is locally isotrivial. In particular, p is a submersion.

1. Introduction

Let X be a compact Kähler manifold such that the anticanonical bundle $-K_X$ is nef, and let $p : X \to Y$ be the Albanese map. By the work of Q. Zhang [Zha96] and M. Păun [Pau12], we know that π is a fibration, i.e. π is surjective and has connected fibers. Conjecturally, the Albanese map has more regularities :

1.1. Conjecture. [DPS96] *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold such that $-K_X$ is nef, and let $p : X \to Y$ be the Albanese map. Then p is locally isotrivial, i.e., for any small open set $U \subset Y$, $p^{-1}(U)$ is biholomorphic to the product $U \times F$, where F is the generic fiber of p. In particular, p is a submersion.*

This conjecture has been proved under the stronger assumption that T_X is nef, $-K_X$ is hermitian or the anticanonical bundle of the generic fiber is big [CP91, DPS94, DPS96, CDP12, CH13]. For the general case, [LTZZ] proved that p is equidimensional and has reduced fibers. In low dimension, [PS98] proved that the Albanese map is a submersion for 3-dimensional projective manifolds.

The aim of this article is to prove the conjecture under the assumption that X is projective :

1.2. Theorem. *Let X be a projective manifold with nef anti-canonical bundle and let $p : X \to Y$ be the Albanese map. Then p is locally isotrivial, i.e., for any small open set $U \subset Y$, $p^{-1}(U)$ is biholomorphic to the product $U \times F$, where F is the generic fiber of p.*

As an application of Theorem 1.2, we can study the structure of the universal cover of projective manifolds with nef anticanonical bundles. Recalling that, for a compact Kähler manifold with hermitian semipositive anticanonical bundle X, [DPS96, CDP12] proved that, there exists a finite étale cover $\widehat{X} \to X$ such that

$$\widehat{X} \simeq T \times \prod Y_j \times \prod S_k \times \prod Z_l,$$

where T is the Albanese torus of $\widehat{X}$, Y_j are irreducible Calabi-Yau manifolds, S_k are irreducible hyperkähler manifolds, and Z_l are rationally connected manifolds with

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32J27; 32Qxx.

Key words and phrases. Projective manifold, Compact Kähler manifold, nef anticanonical bundle, Albanese mapping positivity of direct images.

irreducible holonomy. They expect a similar splitting result for compact Kähler manifolds with nef anticanonical bundles:

1.3. Conjecture. *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold with nef anticanonical bundle. Then the universal cover $\widetilde{X}$ of X admits the following splitting*

$$\widetilde{X} \simeq \mathbb{C}^q \times \prod Y_j \times \prod S_k \times \prod Z_l,$$

where Y_j are irreducible Calabi-Yau manifolds, S_k are irreducible hyperkähler manifolds, and Z_l are rationally connected manifolds with irreducible holonomy.

This conjecture was proved for 3-dimensional projective manifolds [BP04]. For an arbitrary compact Kähler manifold X with nef anticanonical bundle, [Pau97] proved that the fundamental group $\pi_1(X)$ of X is almost abelian.[1] As a direct application of [Pau97] and Theorem1.2, we get the following partial result for Conjecture1.3.

1.4. Corollary. *Let X be a projective manifold with nef anticanonical bundle. Then the universal cover $\widetilde{X}$ of X admits the following splitting*

$$\widetilde{X} \simeq \mathbb{C}^r \times F.$$

Here F is a compact simply connected projective manifold with nef anticanonical bundle, and $r = \sup h^{1,0}(\widehat{X})$ where the supremum is taken over all finite étale covers $\widehat{X} \to X$.

Let us explain briefly the basic ideas of the proof of Theorem 1.2. Like many works on the study of the manifolds with nef anticanonical bundles (cf. [BC16, CH13, CZ13, DPS93, FG12, LTZZ, Pau12, Zha05] to quote only a few), the proof of Theorem1.2 is based on the positivity of direct images. More precisely, in the setting of Theorem1.2, let L be a pseudo-effective line bundle on X with a possible singular metric h_L such that $i\Theta_{h_L}(L) \geq 0$ in the sense of current, and let φ_L be the weight of h_L. Let A be an ample line bundle on X. In general, we don't know about the positivity of $p_\star(L+A)$. However, as $-K_{X/Y}$ is nef in our case, we can use a quite standard trick to study $p_\star(L+A)$ as follows (cf. [Zha05]).

For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$L + A = mK_{X/Y} + (-mK_{X/Y} + A) + L. \tag{1.4.1}$$

Let m be large enough such that $\int_X e^{-\frac{\varphi_L}{m}} < +\infty$, i.e., $\mathcal{J}(h_L^{\frac{1}{m}}) = \mathcal{O}_X$[2]. As $-K_{X/Y}$ is nef, $(-mK_{X/Y} + A)$ is ample and can be equipped with a smooth metric h_1 with positive curvature. Therefore $h = h_1 + h_L$ defines a possible singular metric on

$$\widetilde{L} := (-mK_{X/Y} + A) + L \tag{1.4.2}$$

with $i\Theta_h(\widetilde{L}) \geq 0$ and $\mathcal{J}(h^{\frac{1}{m}}) = \mathcal{O}_X$. Then the powerful results on the positivity of direct images (cf.[BP08, BP10, Kaw82, Kaw98, Kol85, Fuj16, PT14, Tsu10, Vie95] among many others) can be used to study the direct image $p_\star(mK_{X/Y} + \widetilde{L})$. In other words, by using (1.4.1) and (1.4.2), we get some positivity information of

[1] [Pau97, Thm 2] proved that $\pi_1(X)$ is almost abelian for projective manifolds with anticanonical bundles. Thanks to the surjectivity of the Albanese map for Kähler manifolds with nef anticanonical bundles [Pau12], we know that $\pi_1(X)$ is also almost abelian for compact Kähler manifolds with nef anticanonical bundles.

[2] cf. We refer to the paragraph before Theorem2.9 for the definition of $\mathcal{J}(h_L^{\frac{1}{m}})$.

$p_\star(L+A)$. We refer to Proposition2.10 and Corollary2.11 for some more accurate statements.

Another main ingredient involved in the proof is inspired and very close to [DPS94, 3.D]. Recalling that, under the assumption that $-K_{X/Y}$ is p-ample, [DPS94] proved that $p_\star(-mK_{X/Y})$ is numerically flat for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Thanks to this numerically flatness, we can prove the local isotrivialness of the Albanese map [DPS94, CH13]. In the situation of Theorem 1.2, as $-K_{X/Y}$ is not necessarily strictly positive along the fibers, we consider an arbitrary p-ample line bundle L on X to replace $-K_{X/Y}$. By [LTZZ], we can assume that $p_\star(mL)$ is locally free for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. By combining [DPS94, 3.D] with the positivity of direct images discussed above, we can prove that, $p_\star(mL')$ is numerically flat for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, where $L' := \operatorname{rank} p_\star(L) \cdot L - p^\star \det p_\star(L)$. The fibration p is thus locally isotrivial by using a criteria proved in [DPS94, CH13], cf. also Proposition2.8.

Here are the main steps of the proof of Theorem1.2. Firstly, using the positivity of direct images [BP10], the diagonal method of Viehweg [Vie95, Thm 6.24] as well as the semistability of the Albanese map [LTZZ], we prove in Proposition3.12 that for any p-ample line bundle A on X, if $p_\star(A)$ is locally free, then $rA - p^\star \det p_\star(A)$ is pseudo-effective, where r is the rank of $p_\star(A)$. Secondly, after passing to some isogeny of the abelian variety Y, we can assume that $\frac{1}{r}\det p_\star(A)$ is a line bundle. By using an isogeny argument [DPS94, Lemma 3.21] and [BP10], we prove from Step 1 to Step 3 of the proof the main theorem that $p_\star(A) \otimes (-\frac{1}{r}\det p_\star(A))$ is numerically flat. Finally, we use the arguments in [DPS94, CH13] to conclude that p is locally isotrivial.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, after recalling some basic notations and results about the positivity of line bundles and vector bundles, we will review a criteria of the locally isotrivialness proved in [CH13]. We will also gather some results about the positivity of direct images proved in [BP08, BP10, PT14]. In Section 3, inspired by [DPS94, Section 3.D], we will prove two important propositions which will be the key ingredients in the proof of main theorem 1.2. Both propositions imply in particular that the Albanese map is very rigid. Finally, a complete proof of Theorem1.2 and Corollary1.4 is provided in Section 4.

Acknowledgements. We thank S. Boucksom, J.-P. Demailly, A. Höring, S.S.Y. Lu and M. Maculan for helpful discussion about the article. We thank in particular Y. Deng and M. Păun for their numerous comments and suggestions about the text.

2. Preparation

We first recall some basic notations about the positivity of line bundles and vector bundles. We refer to [Dem12, DPS94, Laz] for more details.

2.5. Definition. *Let X be a projective manifold.*

(1) *We say that a holomorphic line bundle L over X is numerically effective, nef for short, if $L \cdot C \geq 0$ for every curve $C \subset X$.*
Thanks to [Dem12, Prop 6.10]*, a line bundle L to be nef is equivalent to say that for every $\epsilon > 0$, there is a smooth hermitian metric h_ϵ on L such that $i\Theta_{h_\epsilon}(L) \geq -\epsilon\omega$ where ω is a fixed Kähler metric on X.*

(2) *We say that a holomorphic vector bundle E over X is nef, if $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1)$ is nef on $\mathbb{P}(E)$.*
(3) *We say that a holomorphic vector bundle E over X is numerically flat if both E and its dual $E^\star$ are nef.*
It is easy to prove that E is numerically flat if and only if $c_1(\det E) = 0$ and E is nef.
(4) *Let $p : X \to Y$ be a fibration between two projective manifolds and let L be a line bundle on X. We say that L is p-ample (resp. p-very ample), if there exists a line bundle L_Y on Y such that $L + p^\star L_Y$ is ample (resp. very ample).*

The following two theorems about the numerically flat vector bundles will be useful for us.

2.6. Theorem. *Let X be a compact Kähler manifold and let E be a numerically flat vector bundle on X. Then*

(1) [DPS94, Thm 1.18] *E admits a filtration*
$$\{0\} = E_0 \subset E_1 \subset \cdots \subset E_p = E$$
by vector subbundles such that the quotients E_k/E_{k-1} are hermitian flat.

(2) [Sim92, Section 3] *E is a local system and the natural Gauss-Manin connection D_E on E is compatible with the natural flat connection on the quotients E_k/E_{k-1}, i.e., $D_E(E_k) \subset E_k \otimes \Omega^1_X$ and the induced connection D_E on E_k/E_{k-1} coincides with the hermitian flat connection on E_k/E_{k-1} for every k.*

2.7. Remark. Recently, Y. Deng [Den17] gave an elegant and short proof of Theorem2.6 (2). In the case X is a torus, we refer also to [Ver04, Lem 6.5, Cor 6.6] for a short proof of Theorem2.6 (2).

Theorem2.6 implies the following criteria, which will be useful for the proof of Theorem1.2.

2.8. Proposition.[CH13, Prop 4.1] *Let $p : X \to Y$ be a flat fibration between two compact Kähler manifolds and let L be a p-very ample line bundle (cf. Definition 2.5 (4)). Set $E_m := p_\star(mL)$. If E_m is numerically flat for every $m \geq 1$, then p is locally isotrivial. Moreover, let $\pi : \widetilde{Y} \to Y$ be the universal cover of Y. Then $\widetilde{X} := X \times_Y \widetilde{Y}$ admits the following splitting*
$$\widetilde{X} \simeq \widetilde{Y} \times F,$$
where F is the generic fiber of p.

For the reader's convenience, we give the proof of it.

Proof. As L is p-very ample, we have a p-relative embedding and $L = j^\star \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E_1)}(1)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xhookrightarrow{\ j\ } & \mathbb{P}(E_1) \\ & {\scriptstyle p}\searrow \quad \swarrow{\scriptstyle f} & \\ & Y & \end{array}$$

For m large enough, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to f_\star(\mathcal{I}_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E_1)}(m)) \to f_\star(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E_1)}(m)) \to p_\star(mL) \to 0. \tag{2.8.1}$$

As E_1 is numerically flat, Theorem2.6 implies that E_1 is a local system. Let D_{E_1} be the flat connection with respect to this local system. We assume that $n = \operatorname{rank} E_1$. Since $\widetilde{Y}$ is simply connected, $\pi^\star E_1$ is a trivial vector bundle on $\widetilde{Y}$ and we can take some flat sections (with respect to D_{E_1})

$$\{e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_n\} \subset H^0(\widetilde{Y}, \pi^\star E_1)$$

such that $\{e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_n\}$ generates $\pi^\star E_1$.

Set $F_m := f_\star(\mathcal{I}_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E_1)}(m))$. Since both $f_\star(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E_1)}(m)) = \operatorname{Sym}^m E_1$ and $p_\star(mL)$ are numerically flat by assumption, F_m is also numerically flat. Then F_m is a local system and let D_{F_m} be the flat connection on it. Then $\pi^\star F_m$ is a trivial vector bundle, and we can take some flat sections (with respect to D_{F_m})

$$\{s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_t\} \subset H^0(\widetilde{Y}, \pi^\star F_m)$$

such that $\{s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_t\}$ generates $\pi^\star F_m$, where t is the rank of F_m.

Let $\varphi : \pi^\star f_\star(\mathcal{I}_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E_1)}(m)) \to \pi^\star f_\star(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E_1)}(m)) = \pi^\star \operatorname{Sym}^m E_1$ be the inclusion induced by (2.8.1). Let $D_{\operatorname{Sym}^m E_1}$ be the flat connection on $\operatorname{Sym}^m \pi^\star E_1$ induced by D_{E_1}. Thanks to [Cao, Lemma 4.3.3], we know that for every i, $\varphi(s_i)$ is flat with respect the connection $D_{\operatorname{Sym}^m E_1}$. In particular, for every i, we can find constants $a_{i,\alpha}$ such that

$$\varphi(s_i) = \sum_{\alpha=(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_n),|\alpha|=m} a_{i,\alpha} \cdot e_1^{\alpha_1} e_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots e_n^{\alpha_n}.$$

In other words, the p-relative embedding of $\widetilde{X}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \times \widetilde{Y}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{X} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \times \widetilde{Y} \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle p} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle p} \\ \widetilde{Y} & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{Y} \end{array}$$

is defined by the polynomials $\varphi(s_i)$ whose coefficients are independent of $\widetilde{Y}$. Then p is locally isotrivial and we have the splitting $\widetilde{X} \simeq \widetilde{Y} \times F$, where F is the generic fiber of p. □

In the second part of this section, we would like to recall some results about the positivity of direct images. For more details, we refer to [BP08, BP10, Fuj16, Hor10, Kaw82, Kaw98, Kol85, PT14, Tsu10, Vie83, Vie95] to quote only a few.

To be begin with, we first recall the definition of possible singular hermitian metrics. We refer to [Dem12] for more details. Let X be a projective manifold and let $L \to X$ be a line bundle on X endowed with a Hermitian metric h_L. We make the convention that, unless explicitly mentioned otherwise, the metrics in this article are allowed to be singular. Let $\Omega \subset X$ be any trivialization open set for L and e_L be a basis of L over Ω. Then

$$|e_L|^2_{h_L} = e^{-\varphi}$$

for some function $\varphi \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega)$. We say that φ is the weight of h_L. Thanks to the Lelong-Poincaré formula, we know that

$$\frac{i}{\pi}\Theta_{h_L}(L) = dd^c\varphi. \tag{2.8.2}$$

We now recall the definition of the multiplier ideal sheaves cf. [Dem12, 5.B] for more details. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathcal{J}(h_L^{\frac{1}{m}}) \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ be the germs of holomorphic function $f \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ such that $|f|^2 e^{-\frac{\varphi}{m}}$ is integrable near x. It is well known that $\mathcal{J}(h_L^{\frac{1}{m}})$ is a coherent sheaf. If $\frac{i}{\pi}\Theta_{h_L}(L) \geq 0$ in the sense of current, thanks to (2.8.2), the weight φ is a psh function. Therefore, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough, we have $\mathcal{J}(h_L^{\frac{1}{m}}) = \mathcal{O}_X$.

We will use the following theorem to study the positivity of direct images in this article. It is a consequence of [BP08, BP10, PT14].

2.9. Theorem.[BP08, BP10, PT14] *Let $p : X \to Y$ be a fibration between two projective manifolds and let L be a pseudo-effective line bundle on X with a possible singular metric h_L such that $i\Theta_{h_L}(L) \geq 0$ in the sense of current. Let m be a positive number such that $\mathcal{J}(h_L^{\frac{1}{m}}|_{X_y}) = \mathcal{O}_{X_y}$ for a generic fiber X_y. Then $\det p_\star(mK_{X/Y} + L)$ is a pseudo-effective line bundle on Y.*

Moreover, let A_Y be a very ample line bundle on Y such that the global sections of $A_Y - K_Y$ separates all $2n$-jets [3], where $n = \dim Y$. Then the restriction

$$H^0(X, mK_{X/Y} + L + p^\star A_Y) \to H^0(X_y, mK_{X/Y} + L + p^\star A_Y)$$

is surjective for a generic $y \in Y$. In particular, the choice of A_Y depends only on Y and is independent of the fibration $p : X \to Y$, L and m.

Proof. We explain briefly the proof. Thanks to [BP10, A.2.1], there exists a m-relative Bergman type metric $h_{m,B}$ on $mK_{X/Y} + L$ with respect to h_L such that $i\Theta_{h_{m,B}}(mK_{X/Y} + L) \geq 0$. Then $h := \frac{m-1}{m}h_{m,B} + \frac{1}{m}h_L$ defines a possible singular metric on

$$\widetilde{L} := \frac{m-1}{m}(mK_{X/Y} + L) + \frac{1}{m}L,$$

with $i\Theta_h(\widetilde{L}) \geq 0$. By construction, we have

$$mK_{X/Y} + L = K_{X/Y} + \widetilde{L}. \tag{2.9.1}$$

Let $y \in Y$ be a generic point and let φ_m be the weight of $h_{m,B}$. Then for every $s \in H^0(X_y, mK_{X/Y} + L)$, by the construction of the m-relative Bergman kernel metric, $|s|^2 e^{-\varphi_m}$ is C^0-bounded. Combining this with the assumption $\mathcal{J}(h_L^{\frac{1}{m}}|_{X_y}) = \mathcal{O}_{X_y}$, we know that

$$\int_{X_y} |s|_h^2 < +\infty. \tag{2.9.2}$$

Therefore the inclusion

$$p_\star(\mathcal{O}_X(K_{X/Y} + \widetilde{L}) \otimes \mathcal{I}(h)) \subset p_\star(K_{X/Y} + \widetilde{L})$$

[3] It means that A_Y is sufficiently ample to make the standard Ohsawa-Takegoshi extension theorem holds

is generically isomorphic. By applying [PT14, Thm 3.3.5] and [CP15, Cor 2.9], we know that $\det p_\star(K_{X/Y}+\widetilde{L})$ is pseudo-effective. Therefore $\det p_\star(mK_{X/Y}+L)$ is pseudo-effective.

For the second part of the theorem, by applying the standard Ohsawa-Takegoshi extension theorem to $K_X+\widetilde{L}+p^\star(A_Y-K_Y)$, thanks to (2.9.2), we know that s be can extended to a section in $H^0(X,K_{X/Y}+\widetilde{L}+p^\star A_Y)$. Combining this with (2.9.1), the restriction

$$H^0(X,mK_{X/Y}+L+p^\star A_Y)\to H^0(X_y,mK_{X/Y}+L+p^\star A_Y)$$

is thus surjective and the theorem is proved. □

We need a slight variant of the above theorem:

2.10. Proposition. *Let $p:X\to Y$ be a fibration between two projective manifolds and let A_Y be a very ample line bundle on Y in Theorem2.9. Let F be a pseudo-effective line bundle on X with a possible singular metric h_F such that $i\Theta_{h_F}(F)\geq 0$ in the sense of current and let $m\in\mathbb{N}$ be a number such that $\mathcal{J}(h_F^{\frac{1}{m}}|_{X_y})=\mathcal{O}_{X_y}$ for a generic fiber X_y.*

Let N be a nef line bundle on X and Let $Q\geq 0$ be some effective divisor on X such that $p(Q)\subsetneq Y$. If $N+\epsilon F+p^\star A_Y$ is semipositive[4] for some $0<\epsilon<1$, the restriction

$$H^0(X,mK_{X/Y}+N+F+Q+2p^\star A_Y)\to H^0(X_y,mK_{X/Y}+N+F+Q+2p^\star A_Y)$$

is surjective.

Proof. As $N+p^\star A_Y+\epsilon F$ is semipositive, it can be equipped with a smooth metric h_1 with positive curvature. Let h_Q be a singular metric on Q such that $i\Theta_{h_Q}(Q)=[Q]$. Then $h=h_1+(1-\epsilon)h_F+h_Q$ defines a metric on the line bundle

$$N+F+Q+p^\star A_Y=(N+\epsilon F+p^\star A_Y)+(1-\epsilon)F+Q$$

with $i\Theta_h(N+F+Q+p^\star A_Y)\geq 0$. Since $\mathcal{J}(h_F^{\frac{1}{m}}|_{X_y})=\mathcal{O}_{X_y}$ and $p(Q)\subsetneq Y$, we have $\mathcal{J}(h^{\frac{1}{m}}|_{X_y})=\mathcal{O}_{X_y}$. The pair $(L,h_L):=(N+F+Q+p^\star A_Y,h)$ satisfies thus the condition in Theorem2.9. By applying Theorem2.9, we know that

$$H^0(X,mK_{X/Y}+N+F+Q+2p^\star A_Y)\to H^0(X_y,mK_{X/Y}+N+F+Q+2p^\star A_Y)$$

is surjective. □

As a corollary, we have

2.11. Corollary. *Let $p:X\to Y$ be a fibration between two projective manifolds and let A_Y be a very ample line bundle on Y in Theorem2.9. If $-K_{X/Y}$ is nef, then for every p-ample pseudo-effective line bundle L on X, the restriction map*

$$H^0(X,L+2p^\star A_Y)\to H^0(X_y,L+2p^\star A_Y)$$

is surjective for a generic $y\in Y$.

[4]It means that there exists a smooth hermitian metric such that the curvature is semipositive.

Proof. Since L is pseudo-effective, there exists a possible singular metric h_L such that $i\Theta_{h_L}(L) \geq 0$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough such that $\mathcal{J}(h_L^{\frac{1}{m}}|_{X_y}) = \mathcal{O}_{X_y}$ for a generic fiber X_y. As L is p-ample, $\epsilon L + p^\star A_Y$ is ample for some $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Combining this with the nefness of $-mK_{X/Y}$, we know that $-mK_{X/Y} + \epsilon L + p^\star A_Y$ is ample. The corollary is thus proved by using Proposition 2.10, where we take $N = -mK_{X/Y}$, $F = L$ and $Q = \mathcal{O}_X$. □

3. Two propositions

Let $p : X \to Y$ be a fibration between two projective manifolds and let L be an ample line on X. If p is a trivial fibration[5] and $p_\star(L)$ is non zero, we know that $\det p_\star(L)$ is ample and $L - \frac{1}{r}p^\star \det p_\star(L)$ is semi-ample where r is the rank of $p_\star(L)$. The goal of this section is to prove some similar results for the Albanese map of projective manifolds with nef anti-canonical bundles. These results infer that the Albanese map is very rigid.

To begin with, by using the diagonal method of Viehweg [Vie95, Thm 6.24] and the regularity of the Albanese map [LTZZ], we can prove the following key proposition. The proof is very close to [Vie95, Thm 6.24][Tsu10, Section 2.6][CP15, Thm 3.13].

3.12. Proposition. *Let X be a projective manifold with nef anti-canonical bundle and let $p : X \to Y$ be the Albanese map.* [6] *Let A be a p-ample line bundle on X such that $p_\star(A)$ is locally free. Then $A - \frac{1}{r}p^\star \det p_\star(A)$ is pseudo-effective, where r is the rank of $p_\star(A)$.*

Sketch of the proof. To explain the idea of the proof, we first sketch the proof under the assumption that p is smooth.

We consider the natural morphism

$$s : \det p_\star(A) \to \bigotimes^r p_\star(A).$$

Let $X^r = X \times_Y X \times_Y \cdots \times_Y X$ be the r-times fiberwise product of the fibration $p : X \to Y$. Let $p_i : X^r \to X$ be the i-th directional projection and let $p^r : X^r \to Y$ be the natural induced fibration. Set $A_r := \bigotimes_{i=1}^r p_i^\star A$ and $L := A_r - (p^r)^\star \det p_\star(A)$. As $(p^r)_\star(A_r) = \bigotimes^r p_\star(A)$, the morphism s induces a nontrivial section

$$\tau \in H^0(X^r, L). \tag{3.12.1}$$

The idea of Viehweg is as follows. Let $j : X \to X^r$ be the diagonal embedding. We know that

$$L|_{j(X)} = rA - p^\star \det p_\star(A). \tag{3.12.2}$$

Note that (3.12.1) implies that L is effective on X^r. If the effectiveness of L on X^r implies the pseudo-effectiveness of $L|_{j(X)}$, thanks to (3.12.2), the proposition is proved. In general, it is not true. However, by using Corollary 2.11, we can prove it in our case.

[5] It means that $X \simeq Y \times F$ where F is a generic of p,

[6] Thanks to [LTZZ], we know that p is flat.

To be more precisely, let A_Y be the ample line bundle on Y in Theorem 2.9. Let $q \in \mathbb{N}^\star$. Since $-K_{X^r/Y}$ is nef and qL is effective and p^r-ample, we can apply Corollary 2.11 to $(p^r : X^r \to Y, qL)$. Then the restriction

$$H^0(X^r, qL + 2(p^r)^\star A_Y) \to H^0(X^r_y, qL + 2(p^r)^\star A_Y) \tag{3.12.3}$$

is surjective for a generic fiber X^r_y.

Let $j : X \to X^r$ be the diagonal embedding. By restricting (3.12.3) to $j(X)$, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0\big(X^r, qL + 2(p^r)^\star A_Y\big) & \twoheadrightarrow & H^0\big(X^r_y, qL + 2(p^r)^\star A_Y\big) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^0(X, qrA - q \cdot p^\star \det p_\star(A) + 2p^\star A_Y) & \longrightarrow & H^0(X_y, qrA) \end{array}$$

Since the image of $H^0\big(X^r_y, qL + 2(p^r)^\star A_Y\big) = H^0(X^r_y, qA_r) \to H^0(X_y, qrA)$ is non trivial, the surjectivity (3.12.3) and the above commutative diagram implies that the image of

$$H^0(X, qrA - q \cdot p^\star \det p_\star(A) + 2p^\star A_Y) \to H^0(X_y, qrA)$$

is non zero. In particular, $qrA - q \cdot p^\star \det p_\star(A) + 2p^\star A_Y$ is effective on X. Then $rA - p^\star \det p_\star(A) + \frac{2}{q} \cdot p^\star A_Y$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-effective for every $q > 0$. By letting $q \to +\infty$, the proposition is proved. □

For the general case, [LTZZ] proved that the Albanese map p is semistable, i.e., p is equidimensional and every fiber is reduced. In particular, p is smooth on codimension 1. We can thus prove the proposition by using almost the same argument as above. The following argument follows closely [CP15, Thm 3.13]

Proof of the proposition 3.12. Let $X^r = X \times_Y X \times \cdots \times_Y X$ be the r-times fiberwise product of $p : X \to Y$, and let $X^{(r)}$ be a desingularisation of X^r. Thanks to [LTZZ], p is semistable. In particular, p is smooth outside a subvariety Z in X of codimension at least 2. Let

$$p_i : X^{(r)} \to X$$

be the i-th directional projection, and $p^r : X^{(r)} \to Y$ be the natural induced morphism. Set $E := X^{(r)} \setminus (\bigcap_i \mathrm{pr}_i^{-1}(X \setminus Z))$. Then the diagonal embedding $j : X \setminus Z \hookrightarrow X^{(r)}$ satisfies $j(X \setminus Z) \subset X^{(r)} \setminus E$.

We consider the natural morphism

$$s : \det p_\star(A) \to \bigotimes^r p_\star(A).$$

Set $A_r := \bigotimes_{i=1}^r \mathrm{pr}_i^\star A$ and $L := A_r - (p^r)^\star \det p_\star(A)$. Then s induces a nontrivial section $\tau \in H^0(X^{(r)}, L + E')$ for some divisor E' supported in E (cf. [Hor10, Lemma 3.15]). Let A_Y be the ample line bundle on Y in Theorem 2.9.

For every $q \geq 1$, we first prove that there exists a divisor E_q supported in E such that the restriction

$$H^0(X^{(r)}, qL + 2(p^r)^\star A_Y + E_q) \to H^0(X^{(r)}_y, qL + 2(p^r)^\star A_Y) \tag{3.12.4}$$

is surjective for a generic $y \in Y$.

In fact, there exist some effective divisors E_1 and E_2 supported in E such that

$$-K_{X^{(r)}/Y} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \mathrm{pr}_i^\star(-K_{X/Y}) + E_1 - E_2. \tag{3.12.5}$$

Then for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

(3.12.6)

$$qL+qmE_2+2(p^r)^\star A_Y = qmK_{X^{(r)}/Y}+qm(\sum_{i=1}^{r} \mathrm{pr}_i^\star(-K_{X/Y}))+qL+qmE_1+2(p^r)^\star A_Y.$$

As $-K_{X/Y}$ is nef, for $\epsilon \ll 1$, the line bundle

$$qm \sum_i \mathrm{pr}_i^\star(-K_{X/Y}) + \epsilon L + (p^r)^\star A_Y$$

is semi-ample on $X^{(r)}$. Note that for a generic $y \in Y$, as X_y is smooth, we have $X_y^{(r)} \cap E_1 = \emptyset$. We can thus apply Proposition2.10 to the fibration $p^r : X^{(r)} \to Y$ by taking $N = qm(\sum_{i=1}^r \mathrm{pr}_i^\star(-K_{X/Y}))$, $F = qL$ and $Q = qmE_1$. Combining this with (3.12.6), the restriction

$$H^0(X^{(r)}, qL + qmE_2 + 2(p^r)^\star A_Y) \to H^0(X_y^{(r)}, qL + qmE_2 + 2(p^r)^\star A_Y) \tag{3.12.7}$$

is thus surjective for m large enough, where $y \in Y$ is a generic point. (3.12.4) is proved by taking $E_q = qmE_2$.

Finally, by restricting (3.12.4) to the image of $j(X \setminus Z)$, as $j(X \setminus Z) \subset X^{(r)} \setminus E$ and E_q is supported in E, the surjectivity of (3.12.4) implies that the image of

$$H^0(X \setminus Z, qrA - q \cdot p^\star \det p_\star(A) + 2p^\star A_Y) \to H^0(X_y, qrA)$$

is non zero. Note that $\mathrm{codim}_X Z \geq 2$. Then $qrA - q \cdot p^\star \det p_\star(A) + 2 \cdot p^\star A_Y$ is effective. Therefore $rA - p^\star \det p_\star(A) + \frac{2}{q} \cdot p^\star A_Y$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-effective. The proposition is proved by letting $q \to +\infty$. □

Using [PT14] and the isogeny argument [DPS94, Lemma 3.21], we can prove

3.13. Proposition. *Let X be a projective manifold with nef anti-canonical bundle and let $p : X \to Y$ be the Albanese map. Let L be a pseudo-effective and p-ample line bundle on X. If $p_\star(L)$ is not zero, then $\det p_\star(L)$ is pseudo-effective.*

Proof. As L is p-ample, there exists an ample line bundle A_Y on Y such that $L + p^\star A_Y$ is ample on X. Since Y is a torus, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently divisible, we can take a n to 1 isogeny $\pi_Y : Y \to Y$. Let $X_n := X \times_{\pi_Y(Y)} Y$. Then X_n is smooth with nef anticanonical bundle, and we have

$$\pi_Y^\star c_1(A_Y) = n \cdot c_1(A_Y) \in H^{1,1}(Y, \mathbb{R}). \tag{3.13.1}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_n & \xrightarrow{\pi_X} & X \\ {\scriptstyle p_n}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p} \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\pi_Y} & Y \end{array}$$

For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\pi_X^\star L + p_n^\star A_Y = mK_{X_n/Y} + (-mK_{X_n/Y} + \frac{1}{n}\pi_X^\star L + p_n^\star A_Y) + (1 - \frac{1}{n})\pi_X^\star L. \tag{3.13.2}$$

As $L+p^\star A_Y$ is ample, by using (3.13.1), we know that $\frac{1}{n}\pi_X^\star L+p_n^\star A_Y$ is also ample. Combining this with the nefness of $-mK_{X_n/Y}$, the line bundle

$$-mK_{X_n/Y}+\frac{1}{n}\pi_X^\star L+p_n^\star A_Y$$

is also ample and can be equipped with a smooth metric h_1 of positive curvature. By assumption, $\pi_X^\star L$ is pseudo-effective. It can be equipped with a possible singular metric h_2 such that $i\Theta_{h_2}(\pi_X^\star L)\geq 0$ in the sense of current. Let m be a number large enough such that $\mathcal{J}(h_2^{\frac{1}{m}})=\mathcal{O}_{X_n}$.

Set $\widetilde{L}:=(-mK_{X_n/Y}+\frac{1}{n}\pi_X^\star L+p_n^\star A_Y)+(1-\frac{1}{n})\pi_X^\star L$. Then $h:=h_1+(1-\frac{1}{n})h_2$ is a metric on $\widetilde{L}$ and satisfies $i\Theta_h(\widetilde{L})\geq 0$ and $\mathcal{J}(h^{\frac{1}{m}})=\mathcal{O}_{X_n}$. By using Theorem 2.9, we know that $\det(p_n)_\star(mK_{X_n/Y}+\widetilde{L})$ is pseudo-effective. Note that

$$mK_{X_n/Y}+\widetilde{L}=\pi_X^\star L+p_n^\star A_Y$$

by construction. Then $\det(p_n)_\star(\pi_X^\star L)+A_Y$ is pseudo-effective. It is equivalent to say that $\pi_Y^\star \det p_\star(L)+A_Y$ is pseudo-effective. Combining this with (3.13.1), $\det p_\star(L)+\frac{1}{n}A_Y$ is pseudo-effective. The proposition is proved by letting $n\to+\infty$. □

4. Proof of the main theorem

We begin to prove the main theorem :

4.14. Theorem. *Let X be a projective manifold with nef anti-canonical bundle and let $p:X\to Y$ be the Albanese map. Then p is locally isotrivial. Moreover, let $\mathbb{C}^r\to Y$ be the universal cover and set $\widetilde{X}:=X\times_Y\mathbb{C}^r$. Then $\widetilde{X}$ admits the following splitting*

$$\widetilde{X}\simeq\mathbb{C}^r\times F,$$

where F is the generic fiber of p.

Proof. First of all, thanks to [LTZZ], p is flat. We can thus find a very ample line bundle A on X such that $p_\star(mA)$ is locally free for every $m\in\mathbb{N}$ and the natural morphsim $\mathrm{Sym}^m p_\star(A)\to p_\star(mA)$ is surjective for every $m\in\mathbb{N}$. Let r be the rank of $p_\star(A)$. After passing to some isogeny of Y, we can assume that $\frac{1}{r}\det p_\star(A)$ is a line bundle. Set

$$L:=A-\frac{1}{r}p^\star\det p_\star(A). \tag{4.14.1}$$

Thanks to Proposition3.12, L is pseudo-effective. By construction, we have

$$c_1(p_\star(L))=0. \tag{4.14.2}$$

The plan of the rest of the proof is as follows. From Step 1 to Step 3, by combining [DPS94, 3.D] with the results about the positivity of direct images, we will prove that $p_\star(L)$ is numerically flat on Y. In Step 4, we will prove the theorem. We remark that, if $-K_X$ is hermitian positive, we can easily prove that $p_\star(L)$ is hermitian flat by using [PT14, Thm 3.3.5], [CP15, Thm 5.2] and the trick (1.4.1). However, as $-K_X$ is only nef in our case, we could not use directly [PT14]. We use here the isogeny argument [DPS94, Lemma 3.21] to prove the nefness of $p_\star(L)$.

Step 1: Construction

Let A_Y be a sufficiently ample line bundle on Y such that $A_Y - \frac{1}{r}\det p_\star(A)$ is ample, and A_Y satisfies the condition in Theorem 2.9. We can ask also that, for every $F \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(Y)$, $A_Y + F$ is very ample on Y.

Since Y is a torus, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently divisible, we can take a n to 1 isogeny $\pi_{Y,n} : Y \to Y$. Let $X_n := X \times_{\pi_{Y,n}(Y)} Y$. Then X_n is smooth with nef anticanonical bundle, and we have

$$\pi_{Y,n}^\star c_1(A_Y) = n \cdot c_1(A_Y) \in H^{1,1}(Y, \mathbb{R}). \tag{4.14.3}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_n & \xrightarrow{\pi_n} & X \\ {\scriptstyle p_n}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p} \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\pi_{Y,n}} & Y \end{array}$$

Set $V_n := \pi_{Y,n}^\star p_\star(L)$. By applying Corollary 2.11 to $(p_n : X_n \to Y, \pi_n^\star L)$, we know that

$$H^0(Y, 2A_Y \otimes V_n) \to (2A_Y \otimes V_n)_y \tag{4.14.4}$$

is surjective for a generic $y \in Y$.

Step 2: Global surjectivity

We prove in this step that for n sufficiently large and divisible, the restriction

$$H^0(Y, 3A_Y \otimes V_n) \to (3A_Y \otimes V_n)_{y_0} \tag{4.14.5}$$

is surjective for every $y_0 \in Y$.

In fact, thanks to the generic surjectivity (4.14.4), we can find

$$\{s_1, \cdots, s_r\} \subset H^0(Y, 2A_Y \otimes V_n)$$

such that $s := s_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge s_r \in H^0(Y, 2rA_Y \otimes \det V_n)$ is non zero. By (4.14.2), we know that

$$c_1(\det V_n) = \pi_{Y,n}^\star c_1(\det p_\star(L)) = 0.$$

Therefore the numerical class

$$c_1(\mathrm{div}\, s) = c_1(2rA_Y) \in H^{1,1}(Y, \mathbb{R}) \tag{4.14.6}$$

is independent of n.

On the other hand, after a translation, we can suppose without lose of generality that $\pi_{Y,n}(y_0)$ is the original point in Y. Then $\{\pi_{Y,n}^{-1}(\pi_{Y,n}(y_0))\}$ is the set of the n-torsion points in Y. Thanks to (4.14.6), the numerical class $c_1(\mathrm{div}(s))$ is independent of n. As a consequence, [MFK, Prop 7.7] implies that for n large enough, the divisor $\mathrm{div}(s)$ could not contain the set $\{\pi_{Y,n}^{-1}(\pi_{Y,n}(y_0))\}$ [7]. Therefore there exists a point $y_1 \in \pi_{Y,n}^{-1}(\pi_{Y,n}(y_0))$ such that

$$s(y_1) \neq 0. \tag{4.14.7}$$

[7] The proof in [MFK, Prop 7.7] is an effective estimate. We can also give non effective estimate proof as follows. In fact, if it is not true, then for every n sufficiently divisible, we can find an ample line bundle in the same class of $2rc_1(A_Y)$, such that the set $\{\pi_{Y,n}^{-1}(\pi_{Y,n}(y_0))\}$ is contained in the zero locus Z_n of a section of this line bundle. As the volumes of $[Z_n]$ is independent of n, by the compactness of cycle spaces, after passing to a subsequence, $[Z_n]$ will tends to a divisor $[Z]$ in Y. However, the torsion sets $\{\pi_{Y,n}^{-1}(\pi_{Y,n}(y_0))\}$ will not converge into a strict subvariety of X when $n \to +\infty$. We get thus a contradiction.

Finally, let G_n be the Galois group associated to the étale cover $\pi_{Y,n} : Y \to Y$ and let $g \in G_n$ such that $g(y_0) = y_1$. As V_n is G_n-invariant, g induces the isomorphisms

$$H^0(Y, 2A_Y \otimes V_n) \to H^0(Y, 2g^\star(A_Y) \otimes V_n)$$

and

$$H^0(Y, 2rA_Y \otimes \det V_n) \to H^0(Y, 2rg^\star(A_Y) \otimes \det V_n).$$

Therefore

$$g^\star(s_1)(y_0) \wedge \cdots \wedge g^\star(s_r)(y_0) = g^\star(s)(y_0) = s(y_1) \neq 0.$$

As a consequence, $\{g^\star(s_1)(y_0), \cdots, g^\star(s_r)(y_0)\}$ generates $(2g^\star(A_Y) \otimes V_n)_{y_0}$. Note that $A_Y - g^\star A_Y \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(Y)$. The construction of A_Y implies thus that

$$A_Y + 2(A_Y - g^\star A_Y)$$

is very ample. Therefore we can find a section $\tau \in H^0(Y, 3A_Y - 2g^\star A_Y)$ such that $\tau(y_0) \neq 0$. Then $\{\tau \otimes g^\star(s_1)(y_0), \cdots, \tau \otimes g^\star(s_r)(y_0)\}$ generates $(3A_Y \otimes V_n)_{y_0}$ and (4.14.5) is proved.

Step 3: Numerically flatness of $p_\star(L)$

Let $\mathbb{P}(p_\star(L))$ (resp. $\mathbb{P}(V_n)$) be the projectivization of $p_\star(L)$ (resp. V_n). We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}(V_n) & \xrightarrow{\pi_n} & \mathbb{P}(p_\star(L)) \\ {\scriptstyle p_n}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p} \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\pi_{Y,n}} & Y \end{array}$$

Let ω_Y be a Kähler metric in the same class of A_Y. Thanks to (4.14.5), we can find a smooth metric h on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_n)}(1)$ such that

$$i\Theta_h(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_n)}(1)) \geq -3p_n^\star\omega_Y = -\frac{3}{n}(\pi_n \circ p)^\star\omega_Y.$$

Note that $\pi_n^\star\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(p_\star(L))}(1) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V_n)}(1)$. Then h induces a smooth metric h_n on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(p_\star(L))}(1)$ satisfying

$$i\Theta_{h_n}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(p_\star(L))}(1)) \geq -\frac{3}{n}p^\star\omega_Y.$$

As this holds for every n sufficiently large and divisible, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(p_\star(L))}(1)$ is nef by definition. Therefore the vector bundle $p_\star(L)$ is nef. Combining this with (4.14.2), $p_\star(L)$ is thus numerically flat.

Step 4: Final conclusion

Let $V := p_\star(L)$. As A is very ample, V induces a p-relative embedding

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xhookrightarrow{j} & \mathbb{P}(V) \\ & {\scriptstyle p}\searrow \quad \swarrow{\scriptstyle f} & \\ & Y & \end{array}$$

We have $L = j^\star\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(1)$. For m large enough, we have thus the exact sequence

$$0 \to f_\star(\mathcal{I}_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(m)) \to f_\star(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(m)) \to p_\star(mL) \to 0. \tag{4.14.8}$$

Thanks to Step 4, $f_\star(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(V)}(m)) = \mathrm{Sym}^m V$ is numerically flat.

Claim : $p_\star(mL)$ is numerically flat for every $m \geq 1$.

We will postpone the proof of the claim to Lemma4.15 and first finish the proof of the theorem. As $p_\star(mL)$ is numerically flat for every $m \geq 1$, by using Proposition 2.8, the theorem is proved. □

To complete the proof of the main theorem, it remains to prove the claim

4.15. Lemma. *The vector bundle $p_\star(mL)$ is numerically flat.*

Proof. As $\mathrm{Sym}^m V$ is numerically flat, the exact sequence (4.14.8) implies that $p_\star(mL)$ is nef. It remains to prove that $c_1(p_\star(mL)) = 0$.

In fact, as mL is p-ample and $p_\star(mL)$ is locally free, Proposition 3.12 implies that $mL - \frac{1}{r_m} p^\star c_1(p_\star(mL))$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-pseudo-effective, where r_m is the rank of $p_\star(mL)$. Then

$$\widetilde{L} := L - \frac{1}{m \cdot r_m} p^\star c_1(p_\star(mL)) \tag{4.15.1}$$

is $\mathbb{Q}$-pseudo-effective. After passing to some isogeny of Y, we can assume that $\frac{1}{m \cdot r_m} c_1(p_\star(mL))$ is a line bundle. Therefore $\widetilde{L}$ is a pseudo-effective line bundle. By taking the determinant of the direct image of (4.15.1), we get

$$c_1(\det p_\star(\widetilde{L})) = c_1(\det p_\star(L)) - \frac{1}{m \cdot r_m} c_1(p_\star(mL)).$$

Combining this with (4.14.2), we have

$$\frac{1}{m \cdot r_m} c_1(p_\star(mL)) + c_1(\det p_\star(\widetilde{L})) = 0 \in H^{1,1}(Y, \mathbb{R}). \tag{4.15.2}$$

To conclude, as $p_\star(mL)$ is proved to be nef, $c_1(p_\star(mL))$ is pseudo-effective. By construction, $\widetilde{L}$ is pseudo-effective and p-ample. Then Proposition3.13 implies that $\det p_\star(\widetilde{L})$ is also pseudo-effective. Therefore (4.15.2) implies that $c_1(p_\star(mL)) = 0$ and the lemma is proved. □

We now discuss the structure of the universal cover of X. Let X be projective manifold with nef anticanonical bundle. [Pau97] proved that, after a finite étale cover of X, the Albanese map $p : X \to Y$ induces an isomorphism of fundamental groups. As an application, we have the following result.

4.16. Corollary. *Let X be a projective manifold with nef anticanonical bundle. Then the universal cover $\widetilde{X}$ of X admits the following splitting*

$$\widetilde{X} \simeq \mathbb{C}^r \times F.$$

Here F is a simply connected projective manifold with nef anticanonical bundle and $r = \sup h^{1,0}(\widehat{X})$ where the supremum is taken over all finite étale covers $\widehat{X} \to X$.

Proof. After some finite étale cover of X, we can assume that $h^{1,0}(X) = r$. Thanks to [Pau97, Thm 2], we can assume moreover that the Albanese map $p : X \to Y$ induces an isomorphism of fundamental groups :

$$p_\star : \ \pi_1(X) \to \pi_1(Y). \tag{4.16.1}$$

Let F be the generic fiber of p. Then $\dim Y = r$. Let $\pi : \mathbb{C}^r \to Y$ be the universal cover and set $X_1 := X \times_Y \mathbb{C}^r$. Theorem4.14 implies that p is locally isotrivial and we have the splitting

$$X_1 \simeq \mathbb{C}^r \times F. \tag{4.16.2}$$

It remains to prove that F is simply connected. As p is a submersion, we have the exact sequence

$$\pi_2(Y) \to \pi_1(F) \to \pi_1(X) \to \pi_1(Y) \to 1.$$

Since Y is a torus, we know that $\pi_2(Y) = 1$. Then the isomorphism (4.16.1) and the above exact sequence imply that $\pi_1(F)$ is trivial. The corollary is proved. □

References

[BP04] Thomas Bauer and Thomas Peternell: *Nef reduction and anticanonical bundles* Asian J. Math. vol 8, (2004) no.2, 315–352

[BP08] Berndtsson, Bo and Păun, Mihai: *Bergman kernels and the pseudoeffectivity of relative canonical bundles* Duke Math vol 145 (2008) no.2

[BP10] Berndtsson, Bo and Păun, Mihai: *Bergman kernels and subadjunction* arXiv: 1002.4145v1

[BC16] Birkar, Caucher and Chen, Yifei *Images of manifolds with semi-ample anti-canonical divisor* J. Algebraic Geom. 25 (2016), 273-287.

[CP91] Campana, Frédéric and Peternell, Thomas: *Projective manifolds whose tangent bundles are numerically effective* Math. Ann. vol 289 (1991) no 1. pp. 169–187

[CDP12] Campana, Frédéric and Demailly, Jean-Pierre and Peternell, Thomas; *Rationally connected manifolds and semipositivity of the Ricci curvature* arXiv: math.AG/1210.2092, Recent Advances in Algebraic Geometry, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series No. 417, ed. by Ch. Hacon, M. Mustata and M. Popa (2014), 71-91,

[CH13] Cao, Junyan and Höring, Andreas: *Manifolds with nef anticanonical bundle* to appear in Crelle, arXiv: 1305.1018

[Cao] Cao, Junyan: *Théorèmes d'annulation et théorèmes de structure sur les variétés kählériennes compactes* Thèse 2013

[CP15] Cao, Junyan and Păun, Mihai: *Kodaira dimension of algebraic fiber spaces over Abelian varieties* Invent. Math. 207 (2017), no. 1, pp 345-387

[CZ13] Chen, Meng and Zhang, Qi: *On a question of Demailly-Peternell-Schneider* J. Eur. Math. Soc. 15, (2013) no. 5, pp. 1853-1858

[Dem12] Demailly, Jean-Pierre: *Analytic methods in algebraic geometry*, Surveys of Modern Mathematics 1, International Press, 2012

[DPS93] Demailly, Jean-Pierre and Peternell, Thomas and Schneider, Michael *Kähler manifolds with numerically effective Ricci class* Compositio Math. 89 (1993) 217-240

[DPS94] Demailly, Jean-Pierre and Peternell, Thomas and Schneider, Michael *Compact complex manifolds with numerically effective tangent bundles* J. Algebraic Geom. vol 3, (1994) number 2, pp. 295-345.

[DPS96] Demailly, Jean-Pierre and Peternell, Thomas and Schneider, Michael *Compact Kähler manifolds with hermitian semipositive anticanonical bundle* Compositio Math. 101 (1996) 217-224

[Den17] Deng, Ya *A Remark on the numerically flat bundles* in preparation

[Fuj16] O. Fujino, *Direct images of relative pluricanonical bundles*, Algebr. Geom. **3** (2016), no. 1, 50–62.

[FG12] O. Fujino, Y. Gongyo, *On images of weak Fano manifolds* Math. Z. 270 (2012), no. 1-2, 531-544

[Hor10] Höring, A.: *Positivity of direct image sheaves- A geometric point of view,* L'Enseignement Mathématique 56, No. 1 (2010): 87–142.

[Kaw82] Kawamata, Y: *Kodaira dimension of algebraic fiber spaces over curves* Invent. Math. 66, 5771 (1982).

[Kaw98] Kawamata, Y: *Subadjunction of log canonical divisors,* II, Amer. J. Math. 120 (1998) 893899.

[Kol85] Kollár, J.: *Subadditivity of the Kodaira dimension: fibers of general type.* In: Algebraic Geometry, Sendai, 1985. Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 10, pp. 361–398. North-Holland, Amsterdam (1987).

[Laz] Lazarsfeld, Robert: *Positivity in algebraic geometry. I. Classical setting: line bundles and linear series.* A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics 48. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. xviii+387 pp.

[LTZZ] S.S.Y Lu, Y. Tu, Q. Zhang, Q. Zheng: *On semistability of Albanese maps,* Manuscripta Math., 131 (2010) no. 3-4, pp. 531–535.

[MFK] D. Mumford, J. Fogarty, F. Kirwan: *Geometric invariant theorey* Third enlarged Edition

[Pau97] Păun, Mihai: *Sur le groupe fondamental des variétés kählériennes compactes à classe de Ricci numériquement effective* C.R. Acad Sci. Paris., t.324 (1997) Série I, p. 1249-1254.

[Pau12] Păun, Mihai: *Relative adjoint transcendental classes and Albanese maps of compact Kaler manifolds with nef Ricci curvature* arXiv preprint, 1209.2195, 2012.

[PT14] Păun, M., Takayama, S.: *Positivity of twisted relative pluricanonical bundles and their direct images* arxiv 1409.5504 (2014).

[PS98] Thomas Peternell and Fernando Serrano. *Threefolds with nef anticanonical bundles.* Collect. Math., 49(2-3):465517, 1998. Dedicated to the memory of Fernando Serrano

[Sim92] Carlos T. Simpson. *Higgs bundles and local systems.* Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math., (75):595, 1992.

[Tsu10] Tsuji, H.: *Global generation of the direct images of relative pluricanonical systems* arXiv:1012.0884, (2010).

[Ver04] Misha, Verbitsky.: *Coherent sheaves on generic compact tori. In Algebraic structures and moduli spaces* volume 38 of CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, pages 229247. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.

[Vie83] E. Viehweg, *Weak positivity and the additivity of the Kodaira dimension for certain fibre spaces*, Algebraic varieties and analytic varieties, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., **1** (1983), 329–353.

[Vie95] Viehweg, E.:*Quasi-projective moduli for polarized manifolds.* Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], 30. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.

[Zha96] Zhang, Qi *On projective manifolds with nef anticanonical bundles* J. Reine Angew. Math. 57-60 (1996)

[Zha05] Zhang, Qi *On projective varieties with nef anticanonical divisors* Math. Ann. 332, 697-703 (2005)

Junyan Cao, Université Paris 6, Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu, 4, Place Jussieu, Paris 75252, France

E-mail address: junyan.cao@imj-prg.fr